

inhibitors for clinical use. Our basic work focuses on the role of the non-receptor tyrosine kinases Src and its substrate focal adhesion kinase (FAK). Src is the prototypical oncoprotein with an important role in controlling the actin cytoskeleton, as well as the cell interaction networks regulating cadherin-mediated cell-cell contacts and integrin-dependent cell-matrix adhesions. Indeed the Src/FAK pathway is at the heart of adhesion crosstalk that is perturbed in cancer during the epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT). Elevated pathway throughput commonly promotes cancer invasion and metastasis by perturbing cancer cell adhesions and polarity. Hence, we are now combining new technologies of dynamic intra-vital imaging and cell tracking to address whether interventions that target adhesion/actin regulators have anti-invasive, anti-metastatic and/or anti-angiogenic activities, and/or affect tumor/host interactions. We strive to determine how best these can be monitored in cancer models in vivo and used for clinical tests. We seek a more complete understanding of a) the molecular mechanisms by which cell interaction networks promote the malignant phenotype, b) how the biological properties they perturb can be imaged in the pre-clinical setting, and c) how best these can be targeted therapeutically.

203 Invited
A Clinician's Perspective on Metastatic Breast Cancer: Many Diseases – We Need to Understand the Biology

D. Hayes¹. ¹University of Michigan, Cancer center Breast Oncology Programme, Ann Arbor, USA

Breast cancer represents the paradigm of clinically important inter-tumor heterogeneity. The observation by Beatson more than 100 years ago that only two of three women on whom he performed ovariectomy experienced benefit was later followed by Jensen, McGuire and others that estrogen receptor (ER) is not universally expressed in all breast cancers, and that ER negative cancers do not respond to endocrine therapy. Likewise, in the 1980s, King and colleagues reported heterogeneous expression of HER2 in breast cancers, and Slamon and others demonstrated differences in prognosis related to HER2 expression, and of course the predictive role of HER2 for endocrine, chemo, and more importantly anti-HER2 therapies. More recently, genomic expression profiling has identified at least 5 major biological categories of breast cancers, which are not surprisingly strongly influenced by ER and HER2. These have been designated Luminal A & B, HER2-like, basal, and claudin-low. A 6th category, initially described as 'normal-like' may be a technical artifact. These terms have become useful 'shorthand' for relatively crude categorization of groups of patients, but as of yet have no obvious role beyond knowledge of ER and HER2 for caring for individual patients. However, they have been helpful in driving translational and clinical research by raising the following, and many more, questions:

- Why do serial endocrine therapies work and what are the mechanisms for resistance to individual endocrine strategies and for ultimate resistance to all?
- Are there additional molecular pathways in each category that can serve as targets for novel therapies?
- Are these categories fixed or are they plastic, with both genetic evolution and ongoing fluidic changes in response to therapeutic pressures?
- What is the role of intra-tumor heterogeneity in driving both the biology and therapeutic response?
- Is the cancer stem cell theory correct, and if so how do we target these cells as well as the symptom-causing bulk cells?
- Answers to all of these questions will be essential to improving care for patients in both the metastatic and adjuvant settings.

Thursday, 22 March 2012 15:30–17:00

CLINICAL SCIENCE SYMPOSIUM

When to Add Chemotherapy to Endocrine Therapy and Endocrine Sensitivity

204 Invited
How Much Genetic Sequencing can Help?

D. Fumagalli¹, C. Sotiriou¹. ¹Institut Jules Bordet, Breast Cancer Translational Research Laboratory, Brussels, Belgium

The implementation of molecular technologies in cancer research has helped in elucidating the complexity and heterogeneity of breast cancer. On the basis of their expression profiles, breast cancers have been

classified in several subtypes with different biology, response to treatment and clinical behaviors. Moreover, gene expression signatures have been developed with the main aim to support clinicians in their treatment decision making. Among estrogen receptor positive (ER+) breast cancers, these signatures could help in identifying either patients at lower risk that might be spared the side effects of chemotherapy and be treated with the sole hormone therapy or, at the contrary, patients at higher risk that can benefit from a combined treatment. While the prospective validation of these signatures is ongoing, new evidences have shown that breast cancers subtypes carry specific genetic aberrations (i.e. chromosomal copy number variation and/or chromosomal translocations), and that disruption of cancer associated pathways (e.g. PI3K/AKT/mTOR and RAS/MEK/ERK) could influence the response to treatment. This underlines the importance of exploring the breast cancer genome and suggests that combining the analysis at both the transcriptome and genome level could provide a more complete and clinically useful tumor characterization. The use of next generation sequencing technologies allow to obtain genomic information at many levels, including point mutations, insertions/deletions, copy number variation and translocation. Being both the cost of the technology and the amount of the needed biological material progressively diminishing, its future implementation in the clinical setting could be envisaged. This could help in refining breast cancer classification and in identifying genomic aberrations with prognostic and predictive value to be implemented in clinical practice as a guide for patients' management.

205 Invited
Added Value Tools

Abstract not received.

206 Invited
What I Need to Know in the Clinic

H. Bonnefoi¹. ¹Institut Bergonié and Université Bordeaux Segalen, Medical Oncology, Bordeaux, France

Based on the results of the Oxford overviews published in 2005 and 2012, all subgroups of hormone receptor-positive (HR+ve) breast cancers seem to benefit in average from the addition of adjuvant chemotherapy irrespective of age or nodal status. We believe that despite this observation clinicians should not underestimate the heterogeneity of this population and its therapeutic impact.

First, we should remember that the prognosis of patients with HR+ve tumours treated with hormonal therapy without chemotherapy is often excellent including in recently published trials [1].

Second, clinicians should be aware that even in trials with a statistically demonstrated survival benefit due to the addition of chemotherapy, subpopulations that do not benefit have been identified [2–4]. These retrospective analyses from prospective trials used either simple single markers (for example estrogen level with STEPP analysis) [2, 3] or more sophisticated signatures [5]. We will discuss these trials and their consequences in daily practice.

Lastly, we will present recently completed or ongoing studies using innovative designs (including preoperative studies) both in node negative and positive tumours which may allow to better identify subpopulations of HR+ve tumours who are extremely sensitive to endocrine therapy (and don't need additional chemotherapy) and/or don't benefit from chemotherapy.

References

- [1] Gnant M et al. Endocrine therapy plus zoledronic acid in premenopausal breast cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2009; 360: 679–691.
- [2] Castiglione-Gertsch M et al. Adjuvant chemotherapy followed by goserelin versus either modality alone for premenopausal lymph node-negative breast cancer: a randomized trial. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2003; 95: 1833–1846.
- [3] Pagani O et al. Is adjuvant chemotherapy of benefit for postmenopausal women who receive endocrine treatment for highly endocrine-responsive, node-positive breast cancer? International Breast Cancer Study Group Trials VII and 12–93. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2009; 116: 491–500.
- [4] Albain KS et al. Adjuvant chemotherapy and timing of tamoxifen in postmenopausal patients with endocrine-responsive, node-positive breast cancer: a phase 3, open-label, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2009; 374: 2055–2063.
- [5] Albain KS et al. Prognostic and predictive value of the 21-gene recurrence score assay in postmenopausal women with node-positive, oestrogen-receptor-positive breast cancer on chemotherapy: a retrospective analysis of a randomised trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2010; 11: 55–65.